Evaluation of the nutritive value of maize for honey bees
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A B S T R A C T

In modern managed agro-ecosystems, the supply of adequate food from blooming crops is limited to brief periods. During periods of pollen deficiencies, bees are forced to forage on alternative crops, such as maize. However, pollen of maize is believed to be a minor food source for bees as it is thought to be lacking in proteins and essential amino acids. This study was conducted to verify this assumption. In maize, a strikingly low concentration of histidine was found, but the amount of all other essential amino acids was greater than that of mixed pollen. The performance and the immunocompetence of bees consuming a pure maize pollen diet (A) was compared to bees feeding on a polyfloral pollen diet (B) and to bees feeding on an artificial substitute of pollen (C). Consumption of diets A and C were linked to a reduction in brood rearing and lifespan. However, no immunological effects were observed based on two parameters of the humoral immunity.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Honeybees have a great demand for amino acids and proteins. Free amino acids occur in nectar (Baker, 1977), but the amount is insufficient to meet a honeybee’s nutritional requirement. Bee colonies rely mainly on pollen to satisfy their protein needs. A single colony consumes between 17 and 34 kg pollen per year (Crailsheim et al., 1992; Keller et al., 2005). Workers forage intensively on male inflorescences to collect pollen. Pollen from different plant species differ in their nutritional value (Standififer, 1967; Haydak, 1970; Crailsheim, 1990). For instance, high quality pollen is produced by diverse clover species (Trifolium spp.), oilseed rape (Brassica napus), pear (Pyrus communis), almond (Prunus dulcis), Populus spp. or lupin (Lupinus angustifolius) (Schmidt et al., 1987; Pernal and Currie, 2001; Somerville and Nicol, 2006). Pollen of less quality can come from sunflower (Helianthus annuus), blueberry (Vaccinium spp.), or Typha spp. (Schmidt et al., 1987). Despite their optical attractiveness for pollinating insects, even such blooming plants as dandelion (Taraxacum spp.), Haplopappus spp. or Kalstroemia spp., produce pollen of minor value for bees’ nutrition. On the other hand there are examples of wind-pollinated plants (e.g. Populus spp.) which are better apt to satisfy the dietary demands of bees than pollen from animal pollinated plants (Maurizio, 1950; Schmidt et al., 1987). Bees are believed to use inflorescences from anemophilous plants as pollen resources, mainly during periods when pollen of blooming zoophilous plants are scarce (Severson and Parry, 1981; Baum et al., 2004).

In Central-Europe, shortages of high-quality pollen occur in early spring and in summer. During both periods, foragers collect the highly available pollen, irrespectively of the nutritive value. That is the case by collecting pollen from hazel or from maize (Ze a mays) (Keller et al., 2005).

Bees collect maize pollen, but are unable to discriminate between high or low quality or even toxic pollen (Roulston et al., 2000; Pernal and Currie, 2001). Contradictory studies (Cook et al., 2003) are preliminary, because they inadequately address other feed-stimulating factors, such as color or odors. In the last years the supply of maize pollen increased as the maize growing area extended rapidly. More maize was grown as a fodder crop and as biofuel crop as well. The acreage of maize tend to increase as maize becomes a valuable crop for farmer as basis for feeding husbandry and as a fuel crop as well. In 2009, the cultivated area of maize reached approx. 160 million hectares worldwide (FAO, 2010) versus 130 million hectares in 1989. In Germany, within 20 years the acreage of maize doubled from 200,000 hectares in 1989, to more than 460,000 hectares in 2009. Maize is known to be a poor feed-stimulating factors, such as color or odors. In the last years the supply of maize pollen increased as the maize growing area extended rapidly. More maize was grown as a fodder crop and as biofuel crop as well. The acreage of maize tend to increase as maize becomes a valuable crop for farmer as basis for feeding husbandry and as a fuel crop as well. In 2009, the cultivated area of maize reached approx. 160 million hectares worldwide (FAO, 2010) versus 130 million hectares in 1989. In Germany, within 20 years the acreage of maize doubled from 200,000 hectares in 1989, to more than 460,000 hectares in 2009. Maize is known to be a poor source of proteins for humans. Its biological value is low and there is a significant deficit of essential amino acids (FAO, 1993). Likewise, maize pollen can be suspected to contain low amounts of protein (Pernal and Currie, 2001; Somerville and Nicol, 2006) and to be deficient for some essential amino acids. Advisors of the Australian extension body claim a link between a huge consumption of protein (Pernal and Currie, 2001; Somerville and Nicol, 2006) and to be deficient for some essential amino acids. Advisors of the Australian extension body claim a link between a huge consumption of
maize pollen and an elevated rate of certain diseases (Stace, 1996). There is increasing evidence that the functionality of the immune system of insects depends on nutritional factors (Feder et al., 1997; Schmid-Hempel, 2005). Alaux et al. (2010) showed similar effects for honeybees.

Taking the above-mentioned four points together, the dietary low value of maize pollen, the blindness of foragers for qualitative traits of pollen, the abundance and availability of maize pollen in late summer and the putative linkage of immune function with nutritional factors, we hypothesize that maize pollen can be a risk for bees. This study aims to clarify this hypothetical threat for honeybee colonies. We measured the protein and the amino acid content of maize pollen and compared the biological productivity, the longevity and parameters of the immune system of honeybees fed with a pure maize pollen diet to honeybees fed with a supplement or a mixed pollen diet.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Pollen collection and pollen substitute

Mixed pollen was collected by bees in June 2009 during the off-bloom period of maize using commercial pollen traps. The pollen loads were removed daily in the evening and frozen to −18 °C. Before the pollen was fed to the bees (colonies and caged bees) the pollen loads were ground and later mixed with honeydew honey (fir tree) to create a paste (ratio 2.5:1, wt/wt). Maize pollen (variety “Athleticco” KWS, Einbeck, Germany) was collected by hand, to get absolute and enough maize pollen, and frozen to −18 °C. To get a paste, the pollen was also mixed with honeydew (ratio 1.5:1, wt/wt).

The pollen substitute was a mixture of proteins, oil and sucrose syrup. The individual ingredients (calcium caseinate flour, whey protein flour, soya flour, linseed oil, beer yeast flour, sucrose syrup) were mixed according to the description of van der Steen (2007). As pilot tests revealed that bees did not eat the pollen substitute readily, honeydew was added to the artificial diet (ratio 2.5:1, wt/wt). Pollen of different plants is contented in all sorts of honey in a high ratio, with the exception of honeydew honey. Therefore, honeydew honey was used to avoid pollen of other plants, which could have an impact on the results.

2.2. Analysis of protein content and amino acids

The protein content was analyzed by the method of Kjeldahl as shown by Hoeegger (1998). The content of free amino acids was measured by cation exchanger chromatography. Twenty milligrams pollen (dry weight) was extracted with 500 μl water for 30 min in an ultrasonic bath (EMAG, Emmi 20HC). The following procedure is described by Weiner et al. (2010).

2.3. Brood-rearing and pollen consumption

Nine Colonies (Apis mellifera carnica), with their respective queens, were transferred from their original hives to new small hives (Mini Plus©) at a standardized size of 4500 worker bees. The queens were caged. The colonies had no honey or pollen storage and, instead, constructed new combs. From each experimental group, three mini hives were placed in outdoor flight cages near Würzburg, Germany and observed for 3 weeks in summer 2009. The flight cages (4 × 4 × 2 m) contained no flowering plants, so bees foraged exclusively on a feeder with sucrose solution. The respective pollen diets were administered ad libitum from feeding devices in the bottom board of each hive. The consumption of sucrose solution and pollen was recorded.

Brood-rearing was measured by the Liebefelder method (Imdorf et al., 1987). With the help of a cross-haired frame (5 × 5 cm) the number of occupied cells can be estimated. The number of brood cells and the stage of development were recorded 5, 8 and 12 days after releasing the queen.

Following the method described by Schur et al. (2003), the brood development was recorded. Acetate sheets were used to mark at least 400 cells with eggs per colony. Three days later, all emerged larvae and 7 days later all sealed cells were recorded. All frames containing sealed bee brood were removed from the hives, placed in an incubator (35 °C, 65% rel. H.) and the emerging young bees were picked from the frames. The number of unhatched cells were recorded 21 days after marking the eggs. The emerged bees of these combs were used for the following longevity experiment and the measurement of immunocompetence. As bees fed with the artificial pollen supplement (van der Steen, 2007) did not raise sufficient brood, no test animals were available for the longevity experiment or the immunological studies.

2.4. Longevity experiment

Cages with 50 newly emerged bees were placed in an incubator at 27 °C and 65% humidity. Each cage contained a piece of comb foundation. The respective pollen paste was offered in small plastic vessels. To feed bees carbohydrates, the cages also contained a 5 ml syringe which provided a sucrose solution (Apilöver). Both pollen diets and sucrose solution were fed ad libitum. The mortality and pollen consumption was recorded daily for 45 days.

2.5. Measurement of immunocompetence

Cohorts of 15 bees aged between 1 and 3 days after eclosion were gathered in cages. These bees were supplied with sucrose solution (20% w/v, ad libitum), the respective diet ad libitum and water ad libitum. After 7 days, the bees were anesthetised on ice, intrathoracically injected with 7.5 μl Paenibacillus larvae suspension with a Hamilton microsyringe (needle gauge 33), and returned to the cages for another 24 h. The volumes of injection solutions were adopted from the literature (Yang and Cox-Foster, 2005) and its suitability confirmed by own pilot experiments (unpublished).

P. larvae were cultivated on MYPGP agar plates (Oie, 2008). Colonies were floated off with saline (0.9% w/v) and with the help of a photometer the density was adjusted to OD = 1.5 at 600 nm. Controls were non-injected bees, bees wounded by puncturing with an injection needle and bees injected with 7.5 μl saline (0.9% w/v). Twenty four hours after injection, bees were killed by freezing and stored at −20 °C until RNA preparation.

From each experimental group, five biologically independent replicates were analyzed.

2.5.1. RNA extraction and real time PCR

Total RNA was extracted from pools of 10 bees per replicate using Rneasy silica columns according to the manufacturer’s recommendations (Qiagen, Hilden). The bees for these measurements came from cage – experiments as described in the previous chapter. They were sacrificed for extraction between 9 and 11 days after eclosion. From each extract, 100 ng RNA was reverse transcribed using poly(dT) oligomers and the omniscrit R T kit (Qiagen, Hilden). CDNA of the hymenoptaecin target and the rp49 housekeeper was amplified with SYBR green based real time PCR protocols using the hymenoptaecin-primers according to Evans et al. (2006) and the rp 49-primers from de Miranda and Fries (2008). Both primer pairs hybridize to a region flanking an intron thus allowing the detection of contaminating genomic DNA. Reaction mixes of
25 µl contained 1 x QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR Master mix (Qiagen, Hilden), 0.3 µM forward primer, 0.3 µM reverse primer and 2 µl of the CDNA. After an initial heating at 95 °C for 15 min a temperature scheme followed with 50 cycles of 94 °C for 15 s, 54 °C (rp49) or 56 °C (hymenoptaecin) for 30 s and 72 °C for 30 s. Data were collected at 72 °C. The threshold cycles (Ct) were determined by the maximum curvature approach (BioRAD MyiQ cycler). All PCR runs were performed in duplicate and followed by a melting curve analysis and gel electrophoresis of the PCR products. Length of amplicons from rp49-RNA was 205 bp and of the hymenoptaecin RNA 200 bp.

3.1. Measurement of nutritional factors

The two factors examined were diet (maize, mixed pollen) and treatment (injection of immune elicitors). The colonies fed with pollen substitute reared fewer total bees (170) compared to the colonies fed with mixed pollen (1300 bees) or maize pollen (900 bees). Additionally, the colonies consumed more than twice as much maize pollen paste (862 mg) as mixed pollen paste (373 mg) per reared bee. Bees fed only marginally more maize pollen paste (373 mg) per reared bee. Bees fed only marginally more maize pollen paste (373 mg) per reared bee.

3.2. Brood-rearing

Brood-rearing of each test colony was documented by acetate sheets and the Liebefelder method for population estimation.

The colonies fed with pollen substitute reared fewer total bees (170) compared to the colonies fed with mixed pollen (1300 bees) or maize pollen (900 bees). Additionally, the colonies consumed more than twice as much maize pollen paste (862 mg) as mixed pollen paste (373 mg) per reared bee. Bees fed only marginally more maize pollen paste (373 mg) per reared bee.

3.3. Longevity experiment

The longevity was measured by observing 650 newly emerged bees per diet (mixed and maize pollen) for 45 days. Fifteen days after emergence, the difference between mixed and maize pollen fed bees was obvious (see Fig. 3; Kaplan–Meier, n = 650, χ² = 131, df = 1, p ≤ 0.001). Bees fed maize pollen had a shorter life expectancy compared to bees fed mixed pollen. Half the honeybees fed mixed pollen were still alive at day 31 of the experiment, whereas on day 25 half of the maize pollen fed bees were alive.

During the experiment, the maize pollen fed bees fed in total much more pollen paste (70.41 g) than the mixed pollen fed bees (44.24 g). Randomized to one bee. Fig. 4 shows that the uptake of maize pollen paste increased enormously in the first 3 days after emergence and then from day nine till the end of the experiment (Mann–Whitney-U-Test: U ≤ 20.0, p ≤ 0.01).

3.4. Immunocompetence

Because inappropriate diet was suspected to compromise immune function, immunocompetence was evaluated by measuring

2.5.3. SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS–PAGE)

SDS–PAGE is used to visualize the antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) and storage proteins in the hemolymph of bees. Hemolymph samples from immune-stimulated bees were diluted with 2 x concentrated sample buffer, heated for 3 min and subjected to electrophoresis as described by Randolt et al. (2008). One microliter of the samples were loaded on a SDS–polyacrylamide gel to separate the proteins. Two technical repetitions per sample were performed.

2.6. Statistical analysis

Data from the brood-rearing experiment was analyzed by using the chi square test of contingency tables as described by Sachs (1997). The data of the longevity experiment was tested for significant differences in survival utilizing the univariate Kaplan–Meier analysis (Sachs, 1997). With respect to the gene expression analyses PCR failures were identified by calculating the difference between both PCR repetitions of the same RNA extract. If the difference was higher than 1.5 these values were considered as technically caused outliers, eliminated and replaced by values that were gained from a repetition of the respective PCR. The resulting data set was checked with Grubbs’ test for outliers (x = 0.05) (Burns et al., 2005). After that procedure mean-Cts were calculated from the duplicate PCR. The resulting Ct-values, representing biological replicates were log-transformed, mean centred and autoscaled according to the procedure described by Willems et al. (2008). The relative expression of genes was calculated by the comparative Ct method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). Data of the gene expression and of the IZA were subjected to a two-factorial analysis of variance (ANOVA) to test for any significant difference. The two factors examined were diet (maize, mixed pollen) and treatment (injection of immune elicitors).

3. Results

3.1. Measurement of nutritional factors

The pollen substitute is made up of less protein (15% per fresh weight) than the pellets of mixed pollen (23% per fresh weight) and maize pollen (26% per fresh weight).
the transcriptional activity of the hymenoptaecin gene, the antibacterial activity, and the protein pattern of hemolymph samples.

The hymenoptaecin gene was highly activated by the challenging experiments \( (p < 0.001 \text{ two-factorial ANOVA}) \), especially by the treatment with \( P. \) larvae. The upregulation was approximately 150-fold, and was clearly distinguishable from the control groups (see Fig. 5). However, the diet had no effect on the transcriptional level \( (p = 0.368, \text{ ANOVA}) \). The hymenoptaecin gene expression was neither suppressed nor potentiated by a pure maize diet in comparison to the mixed pollen diet.

Comparable findings were observed with respect to the antibacterial activity of the hemolymph. The IZA revealed that dietary restriction to a pure maize diet did not impair the bees' capacity to produce antimicrobial peptides \( (p = 0.272 \text{ ANOVA, see Fig. 6}) \).

Analyzing the protein spectrum of the hemolymph by SDS–PAGE, the stimulatory effect of the immune provocation was also confirmed. Intensity and number of bands increased from naive bees before the tests to mechanically wounded and saline injected bees. Bees provoked by \( P. \) larvae showed the highest amount and the most complex pattern of storage and defence related proteins (see Fig. 7). Bands of the defence related proteins hymenoptaecin and defensin were present independent of the type of the diet. However the pattern of the immune stimulated bees fed with mixed pollen was more pronounced than the corresponding pattern of bees fed with maize pollen.
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Analyzing the protein spectrum of the hemolymph by SDS–PAGE, the stimulatory effect of the immune provocation was also confirmed. Intensity and number of bands increased from naive bees before the tests to mechanically wounded and saline injected bees. Bees provoked by \( P. \) larvae showed the highest amount and the most complex pattern of storage and defence related proteins (see Fig. 7). Bands of the defence related proteins hymenoptaecin and defensin were present independent of the type of the diet. However the pattern of the immune stimulated bees fed with mixed pollen was more pronounced than the corresponding pattern of bees fed with maize pollen.
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4. Discussion

In areas of Central and North Europe, the acreage of maize in-
creases because of recent progress in breeding cold-tolerant maize 
lines and due to demand for farming high energy crops for live-
stock as well as for fueling bioenergy-stations. This paper broaches 
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(Roulston and Cane, 2000). Previous studies classified the nutritive 
value of maize according to the protein content (Standifer, 1967; 
Somervile and Nicol, 2006). This study found a high concentration 
of protein in maize pollen (26% of fresh weight) which is congruent 
to the data reported by Goss (1968). Lower concentrations varying 
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fertilizers, or other environmental conditions (Maurizio, 1954; 
Stanley and Linskens, 1974; Stace, 1996; Pernal and Currie, 2001; 
Lundgren and Wiedenmann, 2004; Somerville and Nicol, 2006). 
The method of gathering pollen results in a bias in the protein 
determination of mixed pollen as foragers add important amounts 
of reducing sugars to the pollen pellets. Therefore measurements of protein relative to fresh weight underestimate the content in 
bee collected pollen (Roulston and Cane, 2000). Considering this 
constraint, the comparison of protein and amino acid contents 
has to be interpreted carefully. Nevertheless, it is unlikely to attrib-
ute the poor life expectancy and productivity of the present study 
to the crude protein content, because maize pollen contained more 
protein than mixed pollen. Next, a deficit of essential amino acids 
was supposed to be the limiting factor. Research on dandelion pol-
len supports the idea of the relevance of amino acids for the brood 
rearing capability (Herbert et al., 1970; Loper and Cohen, 1987). 
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esential amino acids. The amounts were superior to mixed pollen, 
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might be a consequence of the low amino acid content. 
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reasons why a pure maize pollen diet does not support the bees 
sufficiently. 
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suspected to improve the availability of the nutrients (Peng et al., 
1985). However, collecting pollen by hand adds the risk of obtain-
ing unripe pollen of poor quality. To avoid crude maize pollen in 
this study, we gathered it from inflorescences in the field and off-
ered it to bees after mixing with honey, as bees do during the pro-
cess of pelleting. 

Additionally, Maurizio (1954) mentioned that pollen of maize 
does not shorten the lifespan of bees. In Maurizio’s study the bees 

Fig. 5. Relative expression of the hymenoptaecin gene in bees fed with maize 
pollen and with mixed pollen. Error bars: −95% CI and +95% CI; P. larvae: bees 
 injected with P. larva suspension, saline: bees were injected with 0.9% NaCl, 
wounding: bees were anaesthetized and wounded with the injection needle, but 
not injected. Before: gene expression level at the beginning of the experiment. Data 
were log-transformed, mean centered and autoscaled. N = 5 independent biological 
replicates. There was a significant effect of challenging (p < 0.001) but no significant 
effect of the diet (p = 0.368). 

Fig. 6. Diameters of inhibition zones against Micrococcus flavus produced by 
hemolymph of bees at the beginning of the assay (“before”), after injection of P. 
larvae or saline. Wounding: anaesthetized and wounded with the injection needle, but 
not injected. Shown are means of two technical repetitions and three biological 
replicates. Diet effects were not significant (p = 0.272), but challenging injections 
had significant results (p = 0.006). Error bars: ±1 SD.
were only fed with a pure pollen diet after emerging. Therefore Maurizio’s results are not really comparable with our findings, as the bees in our study were subjected to the maize for a long time. The bees were reared with the pure maize pollen diet and the nutritional form continued during the adult phase of the bees.

Bees adopted two behavioral reactions to the dietary stress: on the one hand they adapted their broodcare behavior and cannibalized brood (Newton and Michl, 1974; Weiss, 1984; Schmickl and Crailsheim, 2001). Brood cannibalism was practiced by all colonies, as the numbers of sealed cells were less than the numbers of larvae. More striking was the difference between the number of eggs and larvae for the maize and substitute bees. The initial phases of broodcare were not adequately supported by maize and the synthetic diet. Obviously the eggs did not hatch or were immediately removed and cannibalized by the nurses.

A second behavioral adaptation was observed. The amount of pollen taken up by the bees increased strongly. The bees of the maize group consumed much more pollen than the bees of the mixed pollen group. The quantity of uptake probably increased to compensate for lack of nutrients.

The immunological parameters were not affected by dietary factors. Immunocompetence depends on the genetic architecture of organisms and on environmental factors (Rolf and Siva-Jothy, 2003). Food supply can substantially modulate immunity as shown for humans (Chandra, 1992, 1997, 2002), birds (Latshaw, 1991), cattle (Galyean et al., 1999) and rodents (Fernandes, 2008). Malnutrition compromises immunity of vertebrates (Latshaw, 1991; Chandra, 1992; Lochmiller and Deerenberg, 2000; Cunha et al., 2003) and invertebrates (Azambuja et al., 1997; Butt et al., 2007; Akoda et al., 2009). Availability and quality of food are crucial. Caloric and proteinous restriction, and the ratio between both regulates immune functions (Lochmiller et al., 1993; Pal and Poddar, 2008; Behmer, 2009). Despite this considerable body of knowledge from vertebrates and some invertebrates, little is known about honeybees. There are only a few reports on interactions between nutrition and immunity in bees (Szymas and Jedruszuk, 2003; Alaux et al., 2010). Both studies found significant immune deficits in malnourished bees. Nevertheless, the nutritional environment in our study did not affect the transcription of hymenoptaecin and the production of AMPs. Thus there seems to be a primacy of the immune system in bees over productivity. Bees facing nutritive shortages are more prepared to economize resources by reducing productivity than by reducing a metabolically costly immune response. That was exactly the reaction of the test bees: they reallocated resources to the cost of colony size. Similar observations are reported from bumblebees. Bumblebees facing hostile environments reduce the number of progeny but not the intensity of immune defence (Schmid-Hempel and Schmid-Hempel, 1998). Starved animals activated an immune response even at the risk of reduced life expectancy (Moret and Schmid-Hempel, 2000).

5. Conclusions

The findings of this study do not support our hypothesis that pollen of maize directly harms bees. Compared with extremely scarce situations, bees which have access to maize pollen are in a more favorable situation. But extensive cultivation of maize results in an excess supply of maize pollen and could entrap foragers to
use primarily maize pollen instead of more nutritive floral pollen, which implicates the risk of low productivity.
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